

# Week 11 Lecture 1 Notes

Typed by: Sterling Gordon  
Dr. Kuhn Classical Mechanics Course

April 3, 2018

## 1 Canonical Transformations and Type 1 Generating Functions

We can either express the  $2N$  new generalized coordinates and conjugate momenta in terms of old coordinates  $(q_i, p_i)$  or new ones  $(Q_i, P_i)$ . We assume the relationships can be inverted so that we can pick any  $2N$  subset of old or new phase space coordinates and express the remaining  $2N$  ones in terms of these.

$$\vec{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} q_i \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ p_i \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \vec{\zeta} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_i \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ P_i \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

So we can switch between the Hamiltonian into the Kameltonian

$$H(\vec{\eta}) \rightarrow K(\vec{\zeta}) \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{\vec{\eta}} = J\vec{\nabla}_{\eta}H \rightarrow \dot{\vec{\zeta}} = J\vec{\nabla}_{\zeta}K \quad (3)$$

Harmonic Oscillator example:

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}(p^2 + m^2\omega^2q^2) \quad (4)$$

where  $q = x$

$$q = \frac{f(P)}{m\omega} \sin(Q) \quad (5)$$

$$p = f(P)\cos(Q) \quad (6)$$

Then

$$K = \frac{1}{2m} f(P)^2 \quad (7)$$

$P = \text{constant}$  since  $Q$  is (apparently) ignorable.

Now by definition

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial P} \quad (8)$$

$$Q(t) = \frac{\partial K}{\partial P} t + \phi_0 \quad (9)$$

First Method:

$$\frac{df}{dt} + \sum P_i \dot{Q}_i - K(Q, P, t) = \sum p_i \dot{q}_i - H(q, p, t) \quad (10)$$

This works because both sides of the equation fulfill Hamilton Principle of Action.

We need to express

$$\vec{\eta}(\vec{\zeta}) \quad (11)$$

or

$$\vec{\zeta}(\vec{\eta}) \quad (12)$$

We need to make a function of one half of the old sets of variables and one half of the new sets of variables

Type 1 Generating Function

$$F_1(q, Q, t) \quad (13)$$

We can see this depends on old variable  $q$  and new variable  $Q$ .

Then

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q_i} \dot{Q}_i + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \sum P_i \dot{Q}_i - K(Q, P, t) = \sum p_i \dot{q}_i - H(q, p, t) \quad (14)$$

Examining the coefficients of  $Q_i$

$$\dot{q}_i : \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q_i} = p_i \quad (15)$$

$$\dot{Q}_i : \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q_i} + P_i = 0 \quad (16)$$

Therefore

$$P_i = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q_i} \quad (17)$$

When we look at everything else, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} - K = -H \quad (18)$$

Therefore

$$K = H + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \quad (19)$$

If F does not depend on t the K and H are the same in value however they are still totally different functions because they depend on different variables.

Example:

$$F_1 = \sum_i q_i Q_i \quad (20)$$

$$p_i = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q_i} = Q_i \quad (21)$$

$$P_i = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q_i} = -q_i \quad (22)$$

Ex:Ex:

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + mgq \quad (23)$$

$$K = \frac{Q^2}{2m} - mgP \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial Q} = \frac{Q}{m} = -\dot{P} \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial P} = -mg = \dot{Q} \quad (26)$$

Which we know is the force.

Harmonic Oscillator

$$p = f(P)\cos(Q) \quad (27)$$

We can take the ratio of  $q$  and  $p$  to get rid of  $f(P)$

$$\frac{q}{p} = \frac{f(P)\sin(Q)/m\omega}{f(P)\cos(Q)} \quad (28)$$

Then

$$\frac{p}{q} = m\omega \cot(Q) \quad (29)$$

$$p = qm\omega \cot(Q) = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q} \quad (30)$$

Integrating to solve for  $F_1$  we can see that

$$F_1 = \frac{q^2}{2} m\omega \cot(Q) + G(Q) \quad (31)$$

Then by definition

$$P = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q} = \frac{q^2 m\omega}{2} \frac{1}{\sin^2(Q)} + G'(Q) \quad (32)$$

From Eq. (5):

$$f(P) = \frac{m\omega q}{\sin(Q)} \quad (33)$$

We can make a guess  $f(P) = \sqrt{2m\omega P}$ ,  $G = 0$

In general :

$$P(q, p) \quad (34)$$

and

$$Q(q, p) \quad (35)$$

are canonical only if

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial Q \partial q} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial q} \quad (36)$$

Which must be equal to

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial q \partial Q} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \quad (37)$$

Plugging into Eq. (7):

$$K = \omega P \quad (38)$$

$P = \text{constant}$ ,  $K = \text{constant}$  and therefore by the above equation  $\omega = \text{constant}$ .

Now we may be wondering, What is this  $\omega$  thing?

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial P} = \omega \quad (39)$$

$$q = \sqrt{\frac{2P}{m\omega}} \sin(\omega t + \phi_0) \quad (40)$$

$$p = \sqrt{2m\omega P} \cos(\omega t + \phi_0) \quad (41)$$

From our previous experience, we know that this is indeed the behavior of a harmonic oscillator with (position) amplitude  $A$ . Therefore,

$$\sqrt{\frac{2P}{m\omega}} = A \quad (42)$$

So

$$P = \frac{m\omega A^2}{2} \quad (43)$$

$$K = \frac{m\omega^2 A^2}{2} \quad (44)$$

which is indeed the energy of a harmonic oscillator.

We may be wondering how we know that a transformation from  $\vec{\eta}$  to  $\vec{\zeta}$  is actually canonical. There is a direct way to check whether the transformation is canonical using the symplectic formulation.

$$\vec{\zeta}(\vec{\eta}) \rightarrow (\dot{\zeta})_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial \zeta_i}{\partial \eta_j} \dot{\eta}_j \quad (45)$$

We define

$$M_{ij} = \frac{\partial \zeta_i}{\partial \eta_j} \quad (46)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} (\dot{\zeta})_i &= M_{ij} \dot{\eta}_j = M_{ij} J_{jk} (\vec{\nabla}_{\eta} H(\vec{\eta}))_k = M_{ij} J_{jk} \frac{\partial \zeta_l}{\partial \eta_k} (\vec{\nabla}_{\zeta} K(\vec{\zeta}))_l \\ &= M_{ij} J_{jk} M_{lk} (\vec{\nabla}_{\zeta} K(\vec{\zeta}))_l = M_{ij} J_{jk} M_{kl}^T (\vec{\nabla}_{\zeta} K(\vec{\zeta}))_l \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

We know the value of H and K is the same but K is H with all variables replaced.

$$\dot{\zeta} = J \vec{\nabla}_{\zeta} K \rightarrow J = M^T J M \quad (48)$$

This last equation (or its equivalent with  $M$  and  $M^T$  exchanged) is the symplectic condition.