Classical Mechanics (in about an hour)

There are two key concepts to cover in classical mechanics that will apply to our understanding of quantum mechanics. They are the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} and the Hamiltonion \mathcal{H} .

We start off easy by looking at Newton's Law in 1 dimension: $F = m\ddot{x}$. We can write this in a more "cheeky" way as:

$$F = m\ddot{x} = \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x}$$

Here we now have force as the negative gradient of the potential energy. We now want to express this relationship using the kinetic energy, $T = \frac{m\dot{x}^2}{2}$. We begin by writing T as a function of \dot{x}^{1} and take the partial derivative with respect to \dot{x} .

$$T = f(\dot{x}) = \frac{m\dot{x}^2}{2}$$

$$p_x = m\dot{x} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{x}} \rightarrow$$
Generalized Momentum

and take the derivative w.r.t. time to get the force:

$$\dot{p_x} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{x}}$$

and now Newton's Law can be written as:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{x}} + \frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x} = 0$$

We can now introduce the Lagrangian as $\mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t) = T - V$. Now our version of Newton's law looks like:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = 0$$

So what is the advantage of \mathcal{L} ? Well, for one thing, \mathcal{L} can be formalized in a much more general way than Newton's Laws. If we introduce the generalized coordinates: $q_i(t), \dot{q}_i = \frac{d}{dt}q_i(t)$, and t, we can then generalize the Lagrangian to $\mathcal{L}(q_i, \dot{q}_i, t)$. Now we can describe any system as long as we have an appropriate set of variables to describe it. Ordinarily, $\mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t) = T - V$. This leads us to the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\forall i, \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} = 0$$

Example: The Power of the Lagrangian

Take a spinning disc with angular velocity ω . Place a fly a distance r_{\perp} from the center. What is the kinetic energy of the fly?

¹In general we write the kinetic energy as a function of three variables, $T = f(x, \dot{x}, t)$

In Cartesian coordinates this would be a very tough problem to figure out. Using the \mathcal{L} and the canonical coordinates (r_{\perp}, ϕ) , though, will greatly simplify the problem. We'll start by looking at the kinetic energy and assume that the potential energy is 0 (V = 0).

$$T = \frac{mr^2}{2}(\omega + \dot{\phi})^2 + \frac{m}{2}\dot{r}^2$$

The 2 generalized momenta in this case are the linear momentum

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} = m\dot{r}$$

and the angular momentum

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = mr^2(\omega + \dot{\phi})$$

A quick note on momentum:

$$if \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} = 0, \ then \ \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = 0 \ \Rightarrow \ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = const.$$
$$\therefore \ \dot{p} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \ \mathbf{is \ conserved}$$

We can see another advantage of the \mathcal{L} is that it enables us to find conserved properties. Looking back at our fly on a disc, we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} = \frac{d}{dt}m\dot{r} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r} = mr(\omega + \dot{\phi})^2$$

Where $mr(\omega + \dot{\phi})^2$ is the *centrifugal force*. Looking at the "momentum" (really, the angular momentum) belonging to ϕ

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\phi}} = \frac{d}{dt}mr^2(\omega+\dot{\phi}) = 2mr\dot{r}(\omega+\dot{\phi}) + mr^2\ddot{\phi} = 0$$

Here the term, $2mr\dot{r}(\omega + \dot{\phi})$, represents the *Coriolis force* and $mr^2\ddot{\phi}$ is a *torque* that the fly experiences as it moves out in r. This torque is in the $-\hat{\phi}$ direction.

Principle of Least Action

So why do we need \mathcal{L} ? Well, it's a precursor to \mathcal{H} , the Hamiltonion, it's used in its own right for path integrals, and it follows the Principle of Least Action.

Let's begin by looking at boundary values and initial conditions. In order to solve a second order differential equation you need at least 2 initial/boundary conditions. These could be:

Where is it at $t = 0, q_i(0)$? How fast is it moving at $t = 0, \dot{q}_i(0)$? Alternatively, we can replace the 2nd boundary condition with a different one:

Where is it at a later time, $q_i(T)$?

These, or any equivalent set of conditions, will allow you to solve a second order differential equation like the Euler-Lagrange Equations. Newtonian physics is completely deterministic. With a given set of boundary/initial conditions $q_i(0), q_i(T)$ we can uniquely "fix" a path, $[q_i(t)]^2$. In reality there are an infinite number of paths between any two points, however only one path corresponds to physical reality. This path is an extremum of the action S and follows the *Principle of Least Action*. Here, the action for any given path $[q_i(t)]$ connecting $q_i(0)$ with $q_i(T)$ is defined as

$$S\left(\left[q_i(t)\right]\right) = \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(q_i(t), \dot{q}_i(t), t) dt$$

so it is a "function of a set of functions" or a functional. For an *extremum* of this functional, we need to find a path $[q_i(t)]_{extr}$ such that any slight variations of this path leave the action unchanged: $\delta S|_{[q_i]_{extr}} = 0$ (where the δ refers to small variations of the path around the extremum). This is similar to a function f(x) whose derivative, $f'(x_{extr}) = 0$, gives an extremum of the function, and values of f(x) close to this extremum are the same: $\delta f|_{x\,extr} = 0$. The principle of least action states that of all possible paths between a fixed initial and final position, the one that corresponds to a (local) extremum of the action is the one the system will actually take. It can be shown (see Shankar or CM next semester) that this requirement is identical with Euler-Lagrange equations.

The Hamiltonian

Now that we know \mathcal{L} , what is the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} ?

$$\mathcal{H} = T + V$$

However, \mathcal{H} is a function of the generalized momenta p_i , **not** the velocities \dot{q}_i , $\mathcal{H}(q_i, p_i, t)$. The general procedure for finding \mathcal{H} is as follows:

- 1) Find your generalized coordinates and find the Lagrangian
- 2) Find the canonical momenta $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = p_i$

3) define the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(q_i, p_i, t) = \sum_i \dot{q}_i p_i - \mathcal{L}(q_i, \dot{q}_i, t)$$

replace all \dot{q}_i with $f(q_i, p_i)$. Going back to our fly

$$p_r = m\dot{r}$$
$$p_\phi = mr^2(\omega + \dot{\phi})$$

²a path is completely specified if I give $q_i(t)$ for all times $0 \le t \le T$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \dot{r}p_r + \dot{\phi}p_{\phi} - \mathcal{L} \\ &= m\dot{r}^2 + mr^2\omega\dot{\phi} + mr^2\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{m}{2}\dot{r}^2 - \frac{m}{2}r^2\omega^2 - mr^2\omega\dot{\phi} - \frac{m}{2}r^2\dot{\phi}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}m\dot{r}^2 + \frac{1}{2}mr^2\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{m}{2}r^2\omega^2 \\ &= \frac{p_r^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}mr^2\left(\frac{p_{\phi}}{mr^2} - \omega\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}mr^2\omega^2 \\ &\qquad \mathcal{H} = \frac{p_r^2}{2m} + \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2mr^2} - p_{\phi}\omega \end{aligned}$$

 ${\cal H}$ is a conserved quantity if it doesn't depend on time explicitly. Hamilton's equations of motion are:

$$\dot{q_i} = rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i}$$

 $\dot{p_i} = -rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i}$

Going back to our fly one last time

$$\dot{r} = \frac{p_r}{m} \quad \dot{p}_r = \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2mr^3}$$
$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{p_{\phi}}{2mr^2} - \omega \quad \dot{p}_{\phi} = 0$$