## Structure of (Free) Neutrons: The BONuS & BONuS12 Experiments





Sebastian Kuhn Old Dominion University

# Overview



- Neutron Structure Functions (esp. at large x) Why?
- The Neutron No Free Lunch Target (Nucleon structure modifications in Nuclei)
- Spectator Tagging (Principle and Experimental Realization - the RTPC)
- The "BoNuS" experiment
- New ideas for recoil detectors
- The (11 GeV) Future of "BoNuS" (Conclusion and Outlook)

# Fundamental Problem of Nuclear and Hadronic Physics

- Nearly all well-known ("visible") mass in the universe is due to hadronic matter
- Fundamental theory of hadronic matter exists since the 1960's: Quantum Chromo Dynamics
  - "Colored" quarks (u,d,c,s,t,b) and gluons; Lagrangian
- BUT: knowing the ingredients doesn't mean we know how to build hadrons and nuclei from them!
  - akin to the question:
     "Given bricks and mortar, how do you build a house?"
- Four related puzzles:
  - What is the "quark-gluon wave function" of known hadrons?
  - How are hadrons (nucleons) bound into nuclei?
     Does their quark-gluon wave function change inside a nucleus?
  - How do fast quarks and gluons propagate inside hadronic matter?
  - How do fast quarks and gluons turn back into observable hadrons?



# What are Nucleons?

- Stationary solutions of the QCD Lagrangian with A = 1,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ; S = B = C = T = 0 and  $s = \frac{1}{2}$
- Bound systems of 3 light valence quarks (*uud* or *udd*) and a large number of sea quarks (*qq*) and gluons
- Bound states of effective "constituent quarks"
- Describable as a superposition of Fock states, including bare qqq, and excitations of the chiral condensate ("pion cloud"); solitons
- Characterized by SFs, FFs, GPDs, WFs.,
- ...your definition here...
- Classical Nuclear Physics: "Structure-less" hard objects





a quarks, gluons

orbital angular momentum



valence

correlations

qua

# How Do We Study Hadron/Nuclear Structure?

- Energy levels: Nuclear and particle (baryon, meson) masses, excitation spectra, excited state decays -> Spectroscopy (What exists?)
- Elastic and inelastic scattering, particle production Reactions (*Relationships?*)
- Probing the internal structure directly Imaging (Shape and Content?)
- Particular way to encode this: Structure Functions
  - "Parton wave function"?
    5(6)-dim. Wigner distribution → …



# Introduction



- The familiar (?) 1D world of Nucleon longitudinal structure:
  - Take a nucleon
  - Move it real fast along z  $\Rightarrow$  light cone momentum  $P_+ = P_0 + P_z$  (>>M)
  - Hit a "parton" (q, g,...) inside
  - Measure **its** l.c. momentum  $p_+ = p_0 + p_z$  (m≈0)
  - ⇒ Momentum Fraction  $ξ = p_+ / P_+^{*}$
  - In DIS:  $\xi = (q_z v)/M \approx x_{Bj} = Q^2/2Mv$
  - Probability:  $F_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} e_i^2 q_i(x)$
  - Because of spin-1/2: 2<sup>nd</sup> SF F<sub>2</sub>(x)



\*) Advantage: Boost-independent

# Introduction



• So there we are:

Parton model: DIS can access

$$F_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i e_i^2 q_i(x) \text{ (and } F_2(x) \approx 2xF_1(x))$$



SIDIS: allows flavor tagging  $\Rightarrow$  separated  $q_i$ 

Complications: Higher Twist and resonances:

- Non-zero  $R = F_L/2xF_1$
- Further  $Q^2$ -dependence (power series in  $\frac{1}{O^n}$ )



 $q(x;Q^2), \langle h \cdot H \rangle q(x;Q^2)$ 

Traditional "1-D" Parton Distributions (PDFs) (inclusive, integrated over many variables)

### ⇒ Our 1D View of the Nucleon

(also depends on the resolution of the virtual photon ~  $1/Q^2$ 





### Jefferson Lab in Context





### Structure Functions and Moments: Why large x? Why neutron?

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \sigma_{Mott} \left( \frac{F_2(x)}{v} + 2\tan^2 \frac{\theta_e}{2} \frac{F_1(x)}{M} \right); \quad F_2(x,Q^2) = x \qquad \sum z_f^2 \left( q_f(x,Q^2) + \overline{q}_f(x,Q^2) \right)$ 



- q<sub>down</sub>/q<sub>up</sub>(x→1) is a crucial test of valence quark models
  - SU(6) breaking, pQCD,...

f = up.down...

- Precise PDFs at large x needed as input for LHC, v experiments etc.
  - Large *x*, medium Q<sup>2</sup> evolves to medium *x*, large Q<sup>2</sup>
  - Also: NUCLEAR structure functions
- Moments can be directly compared with OPE (twist expansion), Lattice QCD and Sum Rules
  - All higher moments are weighted towards large x
- Quark-Hadron Duality

$$M_n^{CN}(Q^2) = \int_0^\infty dx x^{(n-2)} F_2(x, Q^2) = \sum_{\tau=2k}^\infty E_{n\tau}(\mu, Q^2) O_{n\tau}(\mu) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\tau-2)} + \text{TM corr.}$$



# Valence PDFs

xf(x)

- Behavior of PDFs still unknown for  $x \rightarrow 1$ 
  - SU(6): d/u = 1/2,  $\Delta u/u = 2/3$ ,  $\Delta d/d = -1/3$  for all x
  - Relativistic Quark model:  $\Delta u$ ,  $\Delta d$  reduced
  - Hyperfine effect (1-gluon-exchange): Spectator spin 1 suppressed, d/u = 0,  $\Delta u/u = 1$ ,  $\Delta d/d = -1/3$
  - Helicity conservation: d/u = 1/5,  $\Delta u/u = 1$ ,  $\Delta d/d = 1$
  - Orbital angular momentum: can explain slower convergence to  $\Delta d/d = 1$
- Plenty of data on proton → mostly constraints on u and ∆u
- Knowledge on d limited by lack of free neutron target (nuclear binding effects in d, <sup>3</sup>He)
- Large x requires very high luminosity and resolution; binding effects become dominant uncertainty for the neutron



### **Structure Functions and Resonances**



- Precise structure functions in Resonance Region constrain nucleon models [Separate resonant from nonresonant background; isospin decomposition]
- Needed as input for spin structure function data, radiative corrections,...
- Compare with DIS structure functions to test duality

### Present Knowledge of d/u (x $\rightarrow$ 1)



Limited by "Nuclear Binding Uncertainties"

### Neutron Data Are Important... ...but hard to get

• Free neutrons decay in 15 minutes.

• Radioactivity!



 Zero charge makes it difficult to create a dense target Magnetic bottle: 10<sup>3</sup> - 10<sup>4</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup> [TU München]
 Typical proton target: 4·10<sup>23</sup> p/cm<sup>2</sup> [10 cm LH] – 10<sup>14</sup> p/cm<sup>2</sup> [HERMES]

=> Alternative Solution: Deuterons, Tritons and Helium-3... *BUT*: Nuclear Model Uncertainties:

Fermi motion, off-shell effects (binding), structure modifications (EMC effect), extra pions/Deltas, coherent effects, 6-quark bags...

### **Nuclear Effects**







	<b>Deuteron</b> ↑	<sup>3</sup> He↑ ( <sup>3</sup> H)
0 <sup>th</sup> order approximation	p↑n↑	p↑p↓n↑
D-state and other configurations (S', P, …)	$\mu_{\rm D} = \mu_{\rm p} + \mu_{\rm n} - 0.022$	$\mu_{He} = \mu_n - 0.214$
Tensor polarization	P <sub>zz</sub> ≈ 0.1	Not applicable
Kinematic "smearing"	p <sub>RMS</sub> = 130 MeV/ c	p <sub>RMS</sub> = 170 MeV/c
Binding and "off-shell"- effect	E <sub>bound</sub> -E <sub>free</sub> ≈ -10 MeV	E <sub>bound</sub> -E <sub>free</sub> ≈ -20 MeV
EMC-effect, final state interaction, coherent processes	A = 2, ρ ≈ 0.063 N/fm³	A=3, ρ ≈ 0.094 N/fm³
Extra pions?	2% ?	5% ?
Contributions from Delta resonances?	$P_{\Delta\Delta} < 0.5\%$	$P_{_{\rm NN\Delta}} \approx 2\%$ ?
Other exotic components?	6-quark bags?	6- and 9-quark bags?

### Large x - Large Nuclear Effects



- Even simple "Fermi Smearing" leads to significant dependence on D wave function
- Different models for off-shell and "EMC" effects lead to large additional variations
- Contributions from MEC, Δ(1232) and "exotic" degrees of freedom unknown

• FSI?

EMC effect in deuteron



- using off-shell model, will get *larger* neutron cf. light-cone model
- → but will get smaller neutron cf. no nuclear effects or density model



#### Specific Model: Relativistic on-shell smearing model of Deuterium (Arrington et al.)



#### Estimating the EMC effect in Deuterium



CTEQ6x (CJ) Fit of world data with relaxed cuts, TMC, HT, and various deuteron models

Dependence on off-shell prescription

Dependence on WF

Total (worst case) uncertainty

# Bound Neutron Structure Functions - 2 Questions:

- 1) How can we explore the structure of the neutron if all we have are neutrons bound in nuclei?
  - In many cases, a neutron bound in deuterium can be considered "nearly free".
  - BUT: For certain kinematics (large x > 0.5, resonance region W < 2) the high-momentum (short-distance tail) of the deuteron wave function plays a large role and might distort the result.</li>
- 2) Can we learn something about what happens to a nucleon if it is part of a short-distance pair?
  - Many ideas: Off-shell modifications of on-shell structure functions, color delocalization, suppression of point-like components,  $\Delta\Delta$  components, extra mesons or 6-quark bags
  - Fundamental question about QCD in bound hadron systems that we haven't understood yet. Relevant for QCD phase diagram (high baryon density, neutron stars, color superconductivity?)

# **Spectator Tagging**





# **RTPC Cross Section**



















increasing invariant mass of X







# The New RTPC (ii)











# The New RTPC (iii)

#### Expected PID with fully calibrated RTPC



#### Better gain uniformity – better PID:

- ➢ new GEM foils
- stress free support
- $\succ$  calibration system ( $\alpha$ -source and elastic scattering)

Lower momentum threshold (250 MeV for  $\alpha$ 's)

➤ thinner target walls

#### New Drift Gas NE-TME

- higher density, but similar speed
- Iarger signal

### 2<sup>nd</sup> RTPC Experiment - EG6







Detector calibration, 1<sup>st</sup> step analysis under way 1<sup>st</sup> results maybe in 1 year

### Plans for 12 (really: 11) GeV

### BoNuS12 **E12-06-113**



- Data taking of 35 days on D<sub>2</sub> and 5 days on H<sub>2</sub> with  $\mathcal{L} = 2 \cdot 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$
- **Planned** BoNuS detector DAQ and trigger **upgrade**
- DIS region with
  - $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$
  - ₩\*> 2 GeV
  - $p_s > 70 \text{ MeV}/c$
  - $10^{\circ} < \theta_{pq} < 170^{\circ}$
- Extend to higher momenta using central detector alone



### **Expected Results**



Dark Symbols:  $W^* > 2 \text{ GeV} (x^* \text{ up to } 0.8, \text{ bin centered } x^* = 0.76)$ Open Symbols: "Relaxed cut"  $W^* > 1.8 \text{ GeV} (x^* \text{ up to } 0.83)$ 

# The future: JLab at 11 GeV


# **Backup Slides**

# Simple (Constituent) Quark Model

Flavor	Isospin $I$	$I_3$	Strangeness $S$	Charge $Q$	Baryon Number $B$
U	1/2	+1/2	0	+2/3	1/3
D	1/2	-1/2	0	-1/3	1/3
S	0	0	-1	-1/3	1/3

$$\begin{split} |\Delta^{++}\uparrow\rangle &= |U\uparrow U\uparrow U\uparrow\rangle\\ |\Delta^{+}\uparrow\rangle &= 1/\sqrt{3}\left(|U\uparrow U\uparrow D\uparrow\rangle + |U\uparrow D\uparrow U\uparrow\rangle + |D\uparrow U\uparrow U\uparrow U\uparrow\rangle\right) \end{split}$$

The case of the proton is a bit more complicated, since the wave function cannot be symmetric in spin and flavor separately. The most intuitive way to derive the proton wave function is by observing that 2 of the 3 quarks are equal (U), and therefore their relative spin wave function should be symmetric also. This leads to the conclusion that the two U-quarks couple their spins to a total spin of one. Let's denote the case where this spin has a z-projection of +1 as  $(UU \Uparrow) := |U \uparrow U \uparrow\rangle$ , while the projection with  $S_z = 0$  will be indicated by  $(UU \Rightarrow) := 1/\sqrt{2} (|U \uparrow U \downarrow\rangle + |U \downarrow U \uparrow\rangle)$ . We can now combine the spin 1/2 of the remaining D quark with the spin 1 of the UU pair in two ways to get total spin and projection 1/2; the proper way follows simply from insertion of the correct Clebsch-Gordon coefficients:

$$|P\uparrow\rangle = 1/\sqrt{3} \left(\sqrt{2} |(UU\uparrow)D\downarrow\rangle - |(UU\Rightarrow)D\uparrow\rangle\right).$$
(2)

# Quark Model:

• SU(6)-symmetric wave function of the proton in the quark model:

$$|p\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} \left( 3u\uparrow [ud]_{S=0} + u\uparrow [ud]_{S=1} - \sqrt{2}u\downarrow [ud]_{S=1} - \sqrt{2}d\uparrow [uu]_{S=1} - 2d\downarrow [uu]_{S=1} \right)$$

- In this model: d/u = 1/2,  $\Delta u/u = 2/3$ ,  $\Delta d/d = -1/3$  for all x =>  $A_{1p} = 5/9$ ,  $A_{1n} = 0$ ,  $A_{1D} = 1/3$  \*)
- Hyperfine structure effect: S=1 suppressed => d/u = 0,  $\Delta u/u = 1$ ,  $\Delta d/d = -1/3$  for x -> 1 =>  $A_{1p} = 1$ ,  $A_{1n} = 1$ ,  $A_{1D} = 1$
- pQCD: helicity conservation (q↑↑p) => d/u =2/(9+1) = 1/5, ∆u/u = 1, ∆d/d = 1 for x -> 1
- Wave function of the neutron via isospin rotation: replace u -> d and d -> u => using experiments with protons and neutrons one can extract information on u, d, Δu and Δd in the valence quark region.

\*) 
$$A_{1p} = \frac{4/9 \cdot u \cdot \Delta u/u + 1/9 \cdot d \cdot \Delta d/d}{4/9 \cdot u + 1/9 \cdot d} = \frac{4 \cdot \Delta u/u + (d/u) \cdot \Delta d/d}{4 + (d/u)}$$



 $\alpha_s = \frac{E_s - p_{s_{||}}}{M_s}$ 

 $x^* = \frac{Q^2}{2n_{\nu}^{\mu}a^{\mu}} \approx \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu(2-\alpha_s)} = \frac{x}{2-\alpha_s}$ 

- plane-wave impulse approximation
- backward-emitted p is spectator
- struck neutron is off-shell
- momenta are equal and opposite
- Lorentz invariants are corrected for initial neutron 4-momentum

## **PWIA Spectator Formalism**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dx^* dQ^2} &= \frac{4\pi \alpha_{\rm EM}^2}{x^* Q^4} \begin{bmatrix} y^{-2} \\ 2(1+R) + (1-y_{-}) \\ &+ \frac{M^{*2} x^{*2} y^{-2} }{Q^2} \frac{1-R}{1+R} \end{bmatrix} F_2(x^*, \alpha_s, p_T, Q^2) \\ &+ \frac{M^{*2} x^{*2} y^{-2} }{Q^2} \frac{1-R}{1+R} \end{bmatrix} F_2(x^*, \alpha_s, p_T, Q^2) \\ &\times S(\alpha_s, p_T) \frac{d\alpha_s}{\alpha_s} d^2 p_T, \end{aligned}$$
  
Reat/or
  
Light Cone
  
Spectral Function
  
Nonrelativistic w.f.
$$P(\vec{p}_s) = J |\psi_{\rm NR}(p_s)|^2 \\ J = 1 + \frac{p_{\rm SII}}{E_{\rm R}^*} = \frac{(2-\alpha_s)M_d}{2(M_d - E_s)} \\ S(\alpha_s, p_T) \frac{d\alpha_s}{\alpha_s} d^2 p_T = P(\vec{p}_s) d^3 p_s \end{aligned}$$

$$S(\alpha_s, p_T) \frac{d\alpha_s}{\alpha_s} d^2 p_T = P(\vec{p}_s) d^3 p_s$$

$$Cross Section
Off-Shell F_2
Constrained
Off-Shell F_2
Constrained
Constra$$



## **Final State Interactions**



#### **Target Fragmentation**

#### Palli et al, PRC80(09)054610



- target fragmentation enhances the proton yield only at forward angles ( $\cos \Theta_{pq} > 0.6$ )
- this can be ignored







# **RTPC Cross Section**

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Simulation Overview**

Evgen (fsgen or other event generators)  $\rightarrow$  RTPC (BONUS) CLAS(gsim)  $\rightarrow$  Gsim Post Processing (gpp)  $\rightarrow$  Reconstruction (user\_ana)  $\rightarrow$  Skim  $\rightarrow$  Higher Level Simulation Ntuple

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

What can be done with simulation?

- Help to design the detector and choose the best configurations of HV and Drift Gas
- Debug/optimize reconstruction code of RTPC
- · Generate energy loss correction tables, radiation length tables
- Detector's acceptance and efficiency study

#### Kinematic Coverage - 2.1, 4.2 & 5.3 GeV

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **RTPC** Performance

#### e<sup>-</sup> reconstructed in CLAS & RTPC

![](_page_49_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Minimizing Nuclear Uncertainties: "Spectator Tagging"

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Preliminary Results from BoNuS

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Baryonic Resonances**,  $D(e,e'\pi-p)p$ E = 5.26 GeV, Accepance and momentum not corrected yet

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Measured tagged n / inclusive d
- Multiplied with  $F_{2d}/F_{2p}$
- Normalized at small x
- Acceptance corrections underway

D(e, e'  $\pi^- p_{CLAS})p_s$  + D(e, e'  $\pi^- p_{RTPC})p_{decay}$ 

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Deviations from free structure function: Off-shell Effects [should depend on $\alpha$ ( $p_s$ ), x, Q<sup>2</sup>]

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The Ratio Method
  - \* measure tagged counts divided by inclusive counts
  - correct this ratio for backgrounds
  - \* one scale factor gives F2<sup>n</sup>/F2<sup>d</sup>
- The Monte Carlo Method
  - ★ measure tagged counts
  - \* divide by spectator model Monte Carlo results
  - \* multiply by  $F_2^n$  used in the model
- The two methods have different systematic errors, but give very similar results.

![](_page_55_Figure_9.jpeg)

- Z is the position along the beam direction
- Tracking of the electron gives Z(CLAS)
- Tracking of the spectator proton gives Z(BoNuS)
- ΔZ=Z(CLAS)-Z(BoNuS) shows a coincidence peak and a triangular background
- Fits to the triangular background allows us to measure backgrounds underneath the peak
- Blue area = R<sub>bg</sub> x Pink area
- R<sub>bg</sub> is independent of kinematics

# BoNuS F<sub>2</sub><sup>n</sup>

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

4 of 16 spectra:  $0.8 < Q^2 < 4.5$ ; E<sub>beam</sub> = 4.2 & 5.3 GeV; Bosted/Christy world fits

 $R(data/MC) = \frac{F_{2n}^{eff}(W^*, Q^2, \vec{p_s})}{F_{2n}^{model}(W, Q^2)}$ 

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

Left: Black=raw tagged data; blue=accidental subtracted data; red=elastic and radiative tail

### Final 4 GeV Data F<sub>2n</sub>

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

BoNuS data compared to a state of the art nuclear physics extraction of neutron structure functions from deuterium (red points, Malace, et al.)

and a model (green line by Christy et al.)

### BoNuS $F_2^n/F_2^p$

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

- $F_2^n/F_2^n vs. x$
- Curves are CETQ error bands
- CETQ cuts off at low x because Q<sup>2</sup> is too low
- Lower cuts in W\* imply higher x but the inclusion of resonance contributions.
- Results are consistent with CETQ trends at high x.

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

5 GeV Data

## Results from BoNuS (iv)

Testing the Spectator Assumption - dependence on p<sub>s</sub>

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Data have radiative elastic tail subtracted
- Simulation uses simple spectator model, radiative effects, full model of RTPC and CLAS

## Results from BoNuS (v)

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

Testing the Spectator Assumption - dependence on  $\theta_{pq}$ 

- So far, no strong deviations from naïve PWIA spectator picture at lower spectator momenta
- Possible indication of θ-dependence at higher p<sub>s</sub>
- Have systematics for a wide range in Q<sup>2</sup>, W\* and beam energies

W\* = 1.73 GeV Q<sup>2</sup> = 1.66 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>

### High spectator momenta (0.25 - 0.7 GeV/c): "Deeps"

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_3.jpeg)

CLAS

![](_page_63_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **Results from "Deeps": Momentum Distribution**

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

Vertical axis: Number of events

Horizontal axis: Proton momenta from 250 to 700 MeV/c

#### Left: Angular range > 107.5<sup>o</sup> Right: Angular range 72.5<sup>o</sup> - 107.5<sup>o</sup>

3 different ranges in the final state mass W of the unobserved struck neutrons

PWIA model with "light cone"-wave function for deuterium

### **Results from "Deeps": Ratio Method**

Ratio =

$$\frac{\sigma(x^* = 0.55, \alpha_s)}{\sigma(x^* = 0.25, \alpha_s)} \text{(bound n)}$$

$$\frac{\sigma(x = 0.25, \alpha_s)}{\sigma(x = 0.55)} \text{(free n)}$$

- Independent of deuteron WF, acceptance, kinematic factors
- Should be sensitive to off-shell effects at large x, but also influenced by FSI and target fragmentation
- Fixed p<sub>T</sub> = 0.3 GeV/c -TOO LARGE!

![](_page_65_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

What can we say about the

**EMC effect in Deuterium?** 

Deeps backward angles >  $110^{\circ}$ Slope approx. -0.4 - -0.5 nearly independent of  $p_s$ 

![](_page_66_Figure_2.jpeg)

Slope for most tightly bound nuclei (20% SRC) about -0.4!

![](_page_66_Figure_4.jpeg)

BoNuS results for low  $p_s$  indicate little dependence on  $x^*$ 

# What can we say about the EMC effect in Deuterium?

see talk by L. Weinstein

Ratio  $F_{2n}(x, p_s)/F_{2n}(x, p_s=78 \text{ MeV/c})$ as function of spectator momentum  $p_s$ 

![](_page_67_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Results from "Deeps": Comparison w/ FSI model (CdA et al.)

![](_page_68_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Testing FSI Models in the quasi-elastic channel

 W. Van Orden and S. Jeschonnek have developed a fully relativistic description of cross sections, vector and tensor asymmetries for D(e,e'p)n, including (spin-dependent) FSI (based on known phase shifts)

![](_page_70_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_1.jpeg)




#### $5^{\text{th}}$ structure Function in d(e,e'p) – J. Gilfoyle

- Arenhövel (black) Non-relativistic Schrödinger Equation with RC, MEC, IC, and FSI. Averaged over the CLAS acceptance.
- 2. Laget (green) Diagrammatic approach for  $Q^2 = 1.1 \ {\rm GeV}^2$  (lower panel) and  $Q^2 = 0.7 \ {\rm GeV}^2$  (upper panel).
- 3. Jeschonnek and Van Orden (JVO in red) - Relativistic calculation in IA, Gross equation for the deuteron ground state, SAID parameterization of the NN scattering amplitude for FSI. Off-shell form factor cutoff set to  $\Lambda_N =$ 1.0 GeV (PRc, 81, 014008, 2010). Averaged over the CLAS acceptance.



# CLAS data mining

- Joint effort of a large group of people (many of them here) to re-analyze existing nuclear target data from CLAS
- Proposal to DOE for funding (mostly for a dedicated postdoc) - presently "in limbo"
- Relevant for spectator physics:
  - E6 data, d(e,e'p<sub>s</sub>)X : extend Q<sup>2</sup> range, lower p momentum threshold
  - E6 data: Look for d(e,e' $\Delta_s$ ) $\Delta$  and other "exotic" final states
  - EG1/EG4/EG1-DVCS: study d(e,e'p)n vs. missing momentum to learn more about spin effects and FSI
- Discussion Friday afternoon

### Plans for Jefferson Lab at 11-12 GeV

- CLAS12 will have central detector for medium-low momentum large angle particles
- Can be replaced by "BoNuS" type RTPC for much lower spectator momenta
- Can insert polarized target inside Central Detector study tagged pol. SFs? (Polarized EMC effect LOI [Brooks] approved by PAC35)

11111 Central Detector

Forward Detector for e-, π, K,...





# The New RTPC (ii)









#### DAQ with new Readout Control Unit board





- Data taking of 35 days on D<sub>2</sub> and 5 days on H<sub>2</sub> with  $\mathcal{L} = 2 \cdot 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$
- **Planned** BoNuS detector DAQ and trigger **upgrade**
- DIS region with
  - $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$
  - ₩\*> 2 GeV
  - $p_s > 70 \text{ MeV}/c$
  - $-10^{\circ} < \theta_{pq} < 170^{\circ}$
- Largest value for  $x^* = 0.80$ (bin centered  $x^* = 0.76$ )
- Extend to higher momenta using central detector alone



# Expected Results -

#### BoNuS12 E12-06-113



Data taking of 35 days on D<sub>2</sub> and 5 days on H<sub>2</sub> with  $\mathcal{L} = 2 \cdot 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ 



•DIS region with

 $-Q^{2} > 1 \text{ GeV}^{2}/c^{2}$ - W\*> 2 GeV - p\_{s} > 70 MeV/c -10° <  $\theta_{pq} < 170^{\circ}$ 

#### New methods – DIS from *A*=3 ("MARATHON")

extract n/p ratio from ratio of A=3 structure functions

$$\frac{F_2^n}{F_2^p} = \frac{2\mathcal{R} - F_2^{^3\mathrm{He}}/F_2^{^3\mathrm{H}}}{2F_2^{^3\mathrm{He}}/F_2^{^3\mathrm{H}} - \mathcal{R}}$$

→ ratio of <sup>3</sup>He to <sup>3</sup>H EMC ratios cancels to ~1% for x < 0.85









PR12-11-107

## Conclusion

- Few-body nuclei (D and <sup>3</sup>He) continue to be "neutron targets of choice"
- Interpretation of results complicated by off-shell effects, possible structure modifications and final state interaction...
- ...but we can also learn a lot about NN interaction and few-body nuclear structure by studying these effects
- New, more precise theoretical calculations are becoming available and can be tested experimentally
- New experimental techniques allow us to minimize binding effects or study them in detail
- Started new initiative to "mine" CLAS data for more insight into the interplay between Nuclear and Quark d.o.f.
- Lots more data at 12 GeV!

## Conclusion -Status of Spectator Experiments

- Lots of data with coincident spectator detection already exist, many have been (partially) analyzed
  - FSI seems very important in perpendicular and forward kinematics
  - simple spectator picture with LC wave functions seems to work reasonably in some kinematic regions
  - Possible modifications of internal nucleon structure (dependent on spectator momentum) still an open question
- New data from EG6 will extend this study to <sup>4</sup>He target
- Data mining initiative will unlock much more information from all nuclear data taken with CLAS
- Lots more exciting experiments after JLab energy upgrade!
- Requires theory-experiment interaction: Agree on definition of "reduced cross section"; need predictions of this cross section including FSI over large kinematic range (not only for p\_T = 0;-)
- ULTIMATE GOAL: EIC can smoothly map out p<sub>spect.</sub> from 0 to 1 GeV/c

### Announcement

- Satellite Meeting of the Jefferson Lab Users Group TODAY at 12:30 in the Santa Fe Hilton, Mesa A room
- Lunch (sandwiches) will be served
- Find out what's going on at JLab and what the Users Group Board of Directors is up to