Dear Dr. Dennis,

I have read strongly, and greatly enjoyed, Burns' work. I cannot share the sense of difficulty arising from the failure of our Lord to harmonize in his ethical system, his moral precepts, and other ethical virtues, which he elsewhere, and more specialized in the New Testament, developing all summed up in the Golden Rule (Matt. 7:12), wherein he mainly stated duties in the law and the prophets, and in the condition of the moral law (Deut. 10:12), which is but a condensation of the law. I cannot regard
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ment. But to turn Christianity into an anti-slavery doctrine, or a society against rural marriage, or bad government, would have been to lose sight of its principles in their application, to sacrifice the root for the branches.

No code of morality can be final which leaves out anything which God put in. Our will must accept of such a code just to find its foundation on Christ's principles.

We have had a good chance this year. I am writing in preparation for the summer in Germany and again this fall to find the right. I think often of your general hospitality and encouraging words to your friends. I trust that you took time to write to your family and helped and their.

Ever affectionately yours,

George L. Rice.