The effect of ankle ligament damage and surgical reconstructions on the mechanics of the ankle and subtalar joints revealed by three-dimensional stress MRI
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Abstract

Common image-based diagnostic techniques used to detect ankle ligament injuries or the effects of those injuries (e.g., mechanical instability) include magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and stress radiography. Each of these techniques has limitations. The interpretation of the results obtained through stress radiography, a two-dimensional technique, is highly controversial. MRI can facilitate visualization of soft tissue, but three-dimensional visualization of the full length of the ligaments or detecting partial ligament damage is difficult. This work is part of a long-term study aimed at improving the diagnostic ability of MRI by utilizing it not only to visualize the ligaments but also to detect the mechanical instability produced at the ankle and subtalar joints due to ligament damage. The goal of the present study was to evaluate the ability of a previously developed technique called 3D stress MRI (sMRI) to detect in vitro the effect of damage to the lateral collateral ligaments and the stabilizing effect produced by two common surgical reconstruction techniques. MRI data were collected from eight cadaver limbs in a MR compatible ankle-loading device in neutral, inversion, and anterior drawer. Each specimen was tested intact, after cutting the anterior talo-fibular ligament followed by the calcaneo-fibular ligament and after applying two reconstructions. Ligament injuries produced significant changes in the response of the ankle and subtalar joints to load as detected by the 3D stress MRI technique. Both surgical procedures restored mechanical stability to the joints but they differed in the amount and type of stabilization achieved. We concluded that 3D sMRI can extend the diagnostic power of MRI from the current practice of slice-by-slice visualization to the assessment of mechanical function, the compromise in this function due to injury, and the effects of surgery.
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Introduction

Early diagnosis of an injury to the lateral collateral ankle ligaments and the selection of a proper treatment procedure are believed to be essential for preventing long-term complications such as chronic instability [31], pain, and osteoarthritis [9]. Existing diagnostic techniques can be classified into assessment of the mechanical response of the ankle complex to applied loads as in physical examination, stress radiography, and arthroscopy, or visualization of the ligaments using imaging modalities such as magnetic resonance imaging...
(MRI). Physical examination suffers from a lack of quantitative objectivity. Stress radiography is a common diagnostic technique [7], but both the method and interpretation of results are subject to criticism and controversy [12,16,20]. Furthermore, the 2D nature of this technique fails to account for the 3D behavior of the joints. Arthrometry is an experimental technique based on a device that quantifies externally the amount of ankle motion produced in response to applied loads [14,18,19]. This technique is unable to differentiate between ankle and subtalar motion, and the results are affected by soft tissue interference.

Image-based methods include arthrography [1], peroneal tenography [1], MRI [3,21,29] and MR arthrogram [16]. MRI is able to image soft tissue, is non-invasive, and does not expose the body to harmful radiation. Limitations include difficulty in consistently imaging and visualizing the full length of the ligaments due to large variations in anatomical orientation and the concomitant difficulty in diagnosing partial ligament damage [4,28]. Further improvements are needed to accurately, reliably, and non-invasively detect ankle ligament damage.

While conservative treatment is common for acute sprains, surgery is generally recommended for athletes with chronic mechanical instability [1]. Among the large number of surgical techniques reported and clinically evaluated [15], the most popular is the Broström procedure [2]. However, some clinicians prefer the use of a tenodesis technique such as the Chrisman–Snook procedure for heavy athletes [6], because it is perceived to provide higher stabilization. There are few in vitro studies [11,21] that evaluated and compared the mechanical stabilization provided to the ankle complex by various surgical techniques. In these studies bone movements in response to applied loads were measured using kinematic markers attached to pins fixed in the bones. These studies have limited clinical relevance to in vivo long-term effects and cannot be easily adopted for in vivo use.

In the present study, a recently developed technique, called 3D stress MRI (3D sMRI) [24] was used to quantify the effects of lateral collateral ligament damage and the stabilization provided by surgical repair and reconstruction procedures in vitro. The technique combines the strength of ankle arthrometry [18,19,23] with that of image processing and visualization [8,10,26,27,29]. 3D sMRI uses a MR compatible, 3D, six-degrees-of-freedom positioning and loading device (the ankle loading device or ALD) to load the hindfoot with precise loads while it is imaged in an MRI scanner. Using an image processing and visualization software system [27], the bony morphology, rear-foot architecture, and joint motion from the unloaded to loaded configuration can be calculated. 3D sMRI does not expose the body to harmful radiation, is non-invasive, and provides the ability to measure both ankle and subtalar joint motion.

A combination of these features is unavailable in any present clinical diagnostic procedure or experimental in vivo or in vitro technique. Our goals were to evaluate the ability of 3D sMRI to measure the effects of ligament damage on hindfoot kinematics in vitro and to evaluate and compare the mechanical stabilization provided to the ligament deficient ankle by two common surgical reconstructive procedures. The evaluation of this research tool will provide the basis for future in vivo studies aimed both at establishing the stress MRI technique as a clinical diagnostic tool and at evaluating efficacy of surgical procedures.

Methods

The study was conducted on eight unembalmed cadaver legs (mean age 50.8 ± 8.68 years, range 67–89) disarticulated at the knee and stored at −20 °C. The specimens were thawed to room temperature prior to the imaging process and examined for gross pathological conditions; specimens were excluded if evidence of surgery, tissue abnormalities, or arthritis was found. By using a lateral skin incision and minimal surgical soft tissue removal, the anterior talo-fibular ligament (ATFL) and the calcaneo-fibular ligament (CFL) were identified in each specimen. The specimen was then secured in the ALD as previously described (Fig. 1) [24].

Two 3-in. single-loop RF coils were placed on the medial and lateral sides of the ankle, and one 5-in. single-loop coil was placed underneath the heel, and configured in a multi coil receiver. Sixty 2.1 mm-thick contiguous slices were collected using a 3D Fast Gradient Echo pulse sequence with a TR/TE/flip angle of 11.5 ms/2.4 ms/60°. A 512 × 256 in-plane acquisition matrix, ±31.2 receiver bandwidth, and a 180 mm field of view in a 1.5 Tesla GE Sigma commercial MR scanner. Each volume required 3 min and 9 s to acquire.

The leg was placed in the ALD and configured in a neutral position, as defined by the International Society of Biomechanics [34,30]. Following an initial MR scan with the ankle locked in the neutral position, the scanner table, with the specimen in place, was removed from the magnetic field. The ALD was unlocked, and a 3.4 Nm inversion moment was applied. The ALD was locked in the loaded configuration, the amount of ALD rotation was recorded, and a second data set was acquired. Finally, the table was removed from the scanner, the ALD was returned to the neutral position, and a 150 N anterior drawer force was applied. The ALD was locked in this loaded configuration, the ALD displacement was recorded, the table was returned to the scanner, and a final MRI data set was acquired. The specimen was kept moist by irrigating with saline solution and by covering the exposed ligament region with the dissected skin flap.

After testing the specimen with the ligaments intact, the procedure was repeated for the following situations: ATFL sectioned; ATFL and CFL sectioned; after application of a modified Broström procedure; and after application of a simulated Chrisman–Snook reconstruction (the sutures from the Broström procedure were removed before performing the Chrisman–Snook procedure). When performing the modified Broström reconstruction, the soft tissue dissection performed to create the ATFL deficient and ATFL + CFL deficient hindfoot were repaired by imbricating the ATFL, CFL and retinaculum. The Chrisman–Snook procedure was modified (Fig. 2) to approximate long-term in vivo fixation of the peroneus brevis tendon inside the fibular tunnel due to bone remodeling. This was completed by fixing a 1/4-in. threaded rod into the fibula above the lateral malleolus. The peroneus brevis tendon was attached to the anterior side of the rod using a ceramic spiked washer and a nut. A piece of the tendon was then secured to the calcaneus with a plastic screw, and the other end was attached to the posterior part of the rod.

A high-speed image processing and visualization software system, 3DVIEWNIX [27] was used to process the MR images. The methodology begins with the MR image data and produces a description of the morphological properties of the bones (tibia, fibula, talus, and calcaneus). From these data, the hindfoot bony architecture and the finite kinematics...
describing relative bone motion from unloaded to loaded configuration were computed [24]. The changes in hindfoot architecture and the changes in the kinematic response to applied loads (either inversion or anterior drawer) were used to quantify the effect of ligament damage and of surgical reconstructions. Repeated measure ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test (p > 0.05) were used to identify significant effects of ligament sectioning and surgical reconstruction.

**Results**

Qualitative examination of the bone surface rendition from one specimen (Fig. 3) shows that the 3.4 Nm inversion load caused negligible increased motion at the ankle and subtalar joint when the ATFL was sectioned compared to when all ligaments are intact. However, sectioning of both the ATFL and CFL caused a large inversion instability (increased motion) (Fig. 3a). Both the Chrisman–Snook and the Broström procedures stabilized the ligament deficient ankle complex against an inversion load, but the Chrisman–Snook provided higher stabilization (less motion) than the Broström. Qualitative examination of a sagittal view of the bone surface renditions from one specimen (Fig. 3b) shows that sectioning the ATFL created a noticeable instability in anterior drawer. Subsequent CFL sectioning further increased this instability.

External hindfoot response was defined as the displacement between the footplate and the tibial holder (Fig. 1) measured directly on the ALD in response to the applied loads. Sectioning the ATFL and CFL produced a significant (p = 0.0003) increase in hindfoot rotation in inversion and an insignificant increase in anterior drawer displacement as measured on the ALD in this manner (Table 1).

Some of the architectural parameters changed significantly under an inversion load when the ATFL and CFL were sectioned: L2, p = 0.0024; x1, p = 0.0014; x2, p = 0.0021; x3, p = 0.0005; and β2, p = 0.001 (Fig. 4). The Broström (L2, p = 0.0046; x3, p = 0.0013, and β3, p = 0.0048) and the Chrisman–Snook (β3 = 0.0021) procedures returned these changes to near intact values. When the joints were loaded in anterior drawer,
significant changes were seen between ATFL sectioning in isolation and CFL sectioning; $a_2$ $(p = 0.004)$ and $(p = 0.0022)$ were affected. Although, both surgical procedures returned these parameters towards intact values, only the changes caused by the Chrisman–Snook procedure showed statistical significance $(\beta_3, p = 0.0042)$.

The applied inversion moment caused rotations at both the ankle and at the subtalar joint (Table 2). Sectioning the ATFL and CFL caused a significant increase in rotation at the ankle joint $(p = 0.004)$ and at the ankle joint complex $(p = 0.0003)$. The rotations at the subtalar joint were negligible. Both the Chrisman–Snook and the Broström procedures were effective in returning the

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>ATFL</th>
<th>CFL + ATFL</th>
<th>BR</th>
<th>CS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inversion (°)</td>
<td>18.0 ± 6.8</td>
<td>20.0 ± 7.1</td>
<td>28.6 ± 11.3</td>
<td>20.6 ± 7.4</td>
<td>16.5 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Drawer (mm)</td>
<td>11.2 ± 3.1</td>
<td>12.8 ± 4.1</td>
<td>14.1 ± 4.1</td>
<td>12.7 ± 4.6</td>
<td>13.3 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions include: ligaments intact, isolated ATFL cut (ATFL); both ATFL and CFL sectioned (CFL + ATFL); following surgical reconstruction using the Broström procedure (BR); and following a Chrisman–Snook procedure (CS). Sectioning the ATFL and CFL produced a significant increase in hindfoot rotation in inversion.
amount of rotation produced at the ankle and hindfoot in response to the inversion load to intact values.

With both ligaments intact, application of an anterior drawer force produced centroidal translations at both the ankle and the subtalar joints (Table 2). Sectioning the ATFL caused an insignificant increase in centroidal translation at the ankle and at the ankle complex without affecting the subtalar joint.

With the ligaments intact, a 3.4 Nm inversion moment caused inversion motion both at the ankle and subtalar joint (Table 3). After sectioning of the ATFL and CFL, the amount of rotation at the ankle complex more than doubled. This increase was associated with a corresponding large increase in inversion both at the ankle and at the subtalar joints. Both surgical procedures provided stabilization as is evident from the reduction in the amount of inversion at the ankle complex, ankle, and subtalar joint. However, the amount of stabilization provided in this direction by the Chrisman–Snook procedure at the subtalar joint and at the ankle complex was larger than that provided by the Broström procedure.

Cutting the ATFL followed by the CFL caused progressive increases in the amounts of anterior drawer motion at the ankle and hindfoot. Both the Broström and the Chrisman–Snook procedures provided anterior drawer stabilization to the ligament deficient hindfoot. However, neither restored stability in this direction to pre-injury values. No significant changes were observed when using the Grood and Suntay joint coordinate system.

**Discussion**

The traditional clinical description of hindfoot motion suggests that inversion occurs mostly at the subtalar joint [25]. However, our results indicate that inversion of the ankle complex is associated with nearly equal contribution from the ankle joint (8.8°) and the subtalar joint (7.6°) (Tables 2 and 3), which is in agreement with other studies [5,22]. This discrepancy may be attributed to differences in experimental techniques. In the anterior drawer direction, the our results agree with the traditional view that suggests that anterior drawer occurs almost exclusively at the ankle joint with no subtalar joint displacement.

Sectioning the ATFL (in isolation or in combination with the CFL) did not produce instability at the subtalar joint in anterior drawer and inversion. This result is in agreement with previous investigations [21,22], which concluded that lateral collateral ligament injury does not compromise the mechanical stability of the subtalar joint, probably because the interosseous, talocalcaneal, and cervical ligaments are intact. If the anterior drawer test were to be examined in a weight bearing condition or under an axial load to simulate weight bearing, subtalar joint instability might be identified. Isolated ATFL

---

### Table 2

| Equivalent axis rotation (°) produced in response to a 3.4 Nm inversion moment |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Intact ATFL CFL + ATFL | BR | CS |
| Complex         | 11.6 ± 6.1 | 13.3 ± 6.0 | 23.4 ± 8.3(*) | 13.0 ± 6.1 | 10.9 ± 5.5 |
| Ankle           | 8.8 ± 4.1 | 12.6 ± 5.3 | 17.6 ± 8.5(*) | 9.3 ± 5.4 | 9.8 ± 5.0 |
| STJ             | 7.6 ± 4.2 | 6.8 ± 2.2 | 9.8 ± 8.4 | 8.5 ± 4.8 | 4.2 ± 1.8 |

*Statistically significant increase compared to the intact condition.

### Table 3

| Anatomical joint coordinates describing inversion and anterior drawer produced at the ankle, the subtalar joint, and the ankle complex in response to a 3.4 Nm inversion moment and a 150 N anterior drawer force |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Intact ATFL CFL + ATFL | BR | CS |
| Ankle complex    | Inversion (°) | 7.0 ± 5.4 | 7.9 ± 5.4 | 14.8 ± 4.4 | 9.3 ± 3.9 | 6.9 ± 3.5 |
|                  | Anterior drawer (mm) | 2.9 ± 3.8 | 3.8 ± 2.8 | 4.9 ± 4.7 | 3.3 ± 1.9 | 3.2 ± 3.7 |
| Ankle joint      | Inversion (°) | 4.6 ± 10.4 | 4.0 ± 8.3 | 10.5 ± 6.2 | 3.1 ± 6.7 | 5.5 ± 3.4 |
|                  | Anterior drawer (mm) | 1.8 ± 3.2 | 3.3 ± 3.9 | 6.4 ± 3.4 | 2.7 ± 2.3 | 3.8 ± 3.6 |
| Subtalar joint   | Inversion (°) | 4.0 ± 3.9 | 3.9 ± 2.8 | 7.9 ± 7.8 | 6.0 ± 4.5 | 2.9 ± 2.4 |
|                  | Anterior drawer (mm) | 0.9 ± 1.0 | 0.6 ± 0.8 | 0.1 ± 1.2 | –0.1 ± 1.1 | 0.6 ± 1.5 |
sectioning produced no noticeable mechanical instability in inversion. A 30% increase in anterior drawer instability was created, but this increase was not statistically significant. This finding is consistent with the very large range of “normal” thresholds ranging from 4 mm to 10 mm reported in previous studies that used stress radiography [13,17]. Our results suggest that diagnosis of isolated ATFL injury based on inversion and anterior drawer tests is difficult and the development of diagnostic test for this injury may require further investigation. Another study found that internal rotation changed when the ATFL was sectioned [21], suggesting that internal rotation should be investigated using the sMRI technique to successfully detect isolated ATFL damage. Combined ATFL and CFL sectioning produced a significant mechanical instability in inversion, suggesting that inversion instability may provide a strong indication that both ligaments are damaged.

The Chrisman–Snook procedure was more effective than the Broström in stabilizing the hindfoot in inversion. This result supports the clinical practice of using the Chrisman–Snook procedure for heavier patients where larger inversion stabilization may be required [6]. Furthermore, the Chrisman–Snook procedure stabilized both the ankle and the subtalar joint, while the Broström procedure affected primarily the ankle joint. Considering the anatomy of these procedures, this observation is reasonable because the anterior portion of the Chrisman–Snook reconstruction spans both the ankle and the subtalar joint (Fig. 2) while the Broström procedure spans only the talo-crural joint. A contradictory study found that the effect of the Chrisman–Snook procedure was limited to the subtalar joint with little effect on the ankle joint [21]. The difference may be due to the modification of the traditional Chrisman–Snook procedure in that study by providing an anchor into the talus. In addition, motion of the tenodesis within the fibular tunnel was possible in that study while in our study no such motion could occur.

Under anterior drawer loading, both procedures increased the mechanical stability of the ankle and hindfoot towards pre-injury values, but neither procedure restored stability to intact values. The Broström procedure proved to be slightly more effective than the Chrisman–Snook procedure in stabilizing the ankle complex in anterior drawer. The difference may be explained by the difference in the anatomy of the two reconstructions [24]. The Broström procedure attempts to restore ATFL support by creating a connection between the talus and the fibula. In contrast, the Chrisman–Snook procedure does not restore a talus-to-fibula connection. Therefore, in anterior drawer, as the talus is forced forward, the Broström procedure is expected to provide more support than the Chrisman–Snook, as indeed was observed in the study. Improving the ability of the Chrisman–Snook procedure to stabilize the ankle in anterior drawer may be achieved by adding a talar anchoring point for the peroneus brevis tendon.

The Grood and Suntay joint coordinate system was unable to detect significant changes between all conditions tested because of the small rotations and translations calculated. However, when the system is combined with the information from the helical axis rotations and centroidal translations, this method could further explain the instability. For example, when the hindfoot was inverted with the ATFL and CFL sectioned, the helical axis rotations indicated instability at the ankle and ankle joint complex (Table 2). The Grood and Suntay data show that at the ankle, instability occurred only in the coronal plane. In the ankle joint complex there was an additional instability in the sagittal plane.

The 3D sMRI technique was used to evaluate the mechanical effects of lateral ligament injuries and the stabilizing function of two common surgical repair and reconstruction procedures in vitro. The study demonstrated how 3D sMRI presents an opportunity to extend the diagnostic power of MRI beyond mere geometrical, anatomical visualization to the assessment of mechanical function and the compromise in this function due to injury. Although this study focused on the ankle complex and its ligaments, a similar approach can be developed and implemented to other joints such as the knee, the shoulder, and the spine. In the present study, the 3D sMRI technique was used in vitro since the specific damage could be carefully controlled. However, unlike most other experimental techniques reported in the past, the non-invasiveness of the 3D sMRI technique makes it equally effective in evaluating in vivo effects. With extensive in vivo testing and validation, this technique may be a powerful tool to assess the effects of injuries and surgical reconstructions without being subjected to the serious limitations present in in vitro studies. Such in vivo application will be the subject of our future studies.
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